

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#223)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 29, 2008:

Fry to Freeze:

**"Panicked Climate-Changers, All-Conversating,
'Cause Global Warming's Not Co-operating,
Ladder Climbers Willing to Please,
Now Buying Furs to Fight the Deep Freeze,
Yet They'll Keep on Going, Propaganda Galore,
Hiring Nobody Scientists, Price of a Whore,
The Agenda is Laid, This is Must-Be,
Ordered, Taxed into Ground, Lowly We,
Combination of Taxes, While in Deep Recession,
Will Sink Home Meaning of Great Depression"**

© Alan Watt Dec. 29, 2008

Monday 29th December 2008

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 29, 2008 (Exempting
Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak
There's a wall between us and a river so deep
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

Hi Folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on December the 29th 2008.

For the newcomers: look into my website www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find hundreds of hours of talks I've given, over the years, as I try to give you the shortcuts to the big picture and show you how this reality that we live in is really manufactured for us to accept and how our behaviour is influenced by professional people at the top. Mass psychology is used on the masses (that's why it's mass psychology), and it works very well, it has for a long-long-long time, long before they gave it the modern names.

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you can find transcripts of these talks, you can print them up and they are done in the various languages of Europe.

It's quite amazing to have access to so much data; they call it information today, when you can go across the world and watch the same things happening everywhere at the same time, because we are interdependent. There's been a lot

of people hard at work for well over a 100 years, maybe 200 years, to make us interdependent. Which means: if one country falls, they all go down like dominoes, mainly because we're all tied together with the banking system and the world bankers. The ones that, basically lend out nothing to the countries, except cheques and then they print out money and we have to owe them back real hard assets, to repay them. That's how the scam works. It's funny that people go to university for years to study economics, to fathom this out, it's quite astonishing; but then, old Nathan Rothschild himself said that if those who figure out the scam will be involved in economics themselves and getting heavily rewarded by it, therefore they were highly unlikely to disclose it to the public or make a big deal about it. That's how it really does work.

We live in an age where we have experts telling us what to do on every facet of our lives; even how to dress for the rain or the snow or whatever, constantly telling us what to do. And, from Sudbury (here in Canada, Ontario), to where I live, there are a whole bunch of road signs on the way out of Sudbury that tell you to pull over if you're tired and being tired can cause accidents, or speeding in wintry conditions on all these bendy roads can put you off at the bends. You have all these different signs and, really, if you read them all, you'd be off the road anyway! It's one after another, especially the ones for the speeding fines that they keep increasing per kilometre the speed that you do. You can't read them all; but, someone pointed out to me that there are people who need these signs, to tell them what to do. *"If you're tired pull over"*; *"Speed kills"* because they don't think very much and I thought about well why is that? Because we've been raised, like Bertrand Russell said, not to think for ourselves, not to reason for ourselves and Brzezinski said that the people would be unable to reason for themselves, they will expect the media to do it for them. *"It's raining out, put on your raincoat"* and that kind of stuff. That's how dumbed-down we truly are; and, what's always been known at the top: once you create a society that truly is dumbed down and doesn't reason for itself, you can really tell them anything and they'll believe it and that's why we have experts on global warming today. I'll be back with more about this, after the following break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Just before the break, I was talking about how the more we have been trained by experts to believe what they tell us, the easier it is to tell us anything at all and have us believe it. That's the trick of it all. It ties in, everything ties in together, with the masters who rule the world who have a vision for the world; and, I've gone through many articles in the past and read through some of the books of the Eugenicists, the top Eugenicists from even the 1800s, 1900s right up to the present time, because they simply changed their titles to Bioethicists and Population Control consultants and specialists, same thing. We've all been brought up with relentless television documentaries, all pushing the same thing and they always have experts on, from universities. If you were to go from country to the next, you'd find the same types of professors, in different countries, all giving the same exposés or their belief systems on television to the different audiences, all on track with each other, on board; and why is that? Because they're all coming together through consensus-building; the sciences and universities have been at this for a very, very long time. You go higher up the ladder even from that and you find that the big Foundations, the really big ones, fund hundreds of universities; they give them grants every year. The Board members, the C.E.Os and Board members of these faculties, are

very happy to have these grants given to them but they also know what these main contributors want, because the Foundations that give them the grants always have a head man, like Rockefeller himself, who promulgates the whole idea of depopulation and reducing it drastically, sustainability and so on. Therefore, these things are taught in their courses in their universities.

It's not hard for a small clique of people belonging to the same Association and loaded with incredible wealth. Foundations that keep raking in the money, self-perpetuating, through their investments and giving out their charitable philanthropic causes, dishing it out, left right and centre, only with conditions attached, of course, that you push what the main / top guy believes in. That's how easy it is to have all of academia on board, on the same agenda with you. Plus, we forget, you see, when it comes to the areas of science, especially, scientists don't know very much when they come out of universities. They know very-very little in fact, to be honest with you, and they want to get a job and their jobs depend on grants being given to them. Therefore, they can come up with weird and wacky ideas and if it's along a certain agenda, they're generally guaranteed a grant; and, if they can keep that grant going through *Well, next year we can come out with new / more information for you.*

I'll give you an example of this, the Heart and Stroke Foundation: at the end of the year, they always give you a little commentary on what they've found, after all this grant money; and, one year it was a little wine was good for you, a bottle of red wine was good for your blood vessels and your heart. The following year, it contradicted itself and said it could be detrimental to you; and, I've watched this farce go on, year after year. Millions of dollars, to come out with this, you see, as long as they're going to keep looking into it further, the grant money comes up with them. Really, you see, most of these big front charities have nothing to do with what they tell you that they're all about, nothing at all. Scientists themselves are very politically correct, they smell which way the wind's going, they want a good career and the in-thing right now to join the bandwagon, or it has been for a while, was global warming - and now it's called climate change because the warming hasn't cooperated - and, even scientists who have been making a good few years living off this are falling away, because they're afraid their reputations are going down the tubes with the whole theory, or con game.

That's how we're run, we're run, because everyone's on board, with consensus-building; and, when you go through every Foundation, this is the surprising thing of the Foundations, and you see what's funded by whom, if you had a list of politically correct terminology and points of view on a hundred areas of life, they'd all be exactly the same. How can that be? That can only happen when there's interaction between them, on a very high level. They're all on board on all the same topics, hundreds of topics, it's rather amazing; and, you can compare those topics, a lot of the social aspects of it is to do with what we call Communism and we know that Communism was simply the left hand of Capitalism, using the dialectic to bring the two together. Again, I don't have to harp on about it, but Norman Dodd came out with that, with the Reece Commission, and you can hear Senator Dodd on Youtube, it's up there, talking about when he went to the Ford and Carnegie and all the big Foundations and he was told, right to his face, their job (and this was in the '50s, 1950s) was to alter the culture so much in America and the Western World that they'd gradually blend it with the Soviet Union. The two would become one. Now, how long had they been there doing that? We haven't found that out yet. Which meant too that the very inception of the Soviet

Regime, which was funded by the bankers of the West - and that's documented, there's a lot of good documentation on that and regular articles, even in National Geographic at the time, as to the funding that was coming in. Men planned this antithesis to Capitalism, they planned it. They had visions of a future; and, remember, these big Foundations (as Professor Carroll Quigley said himself) work inter-generationally; they have long-term goals. If it takes centuries to pull off their particular part in the agenda, they will do so, because their mandate does not change with the generations. They employ new people, they're told the mandate, they work towards it, they retire, new ones are brought in, and that's how they can literally plan a future and pull it off. At the rotten foundations of these Foundations, you'll always find eugenics and Darwinism.

Darwinism has an interesting story, apart from the Darwin family themselves, I've mentioned before, there's a good book, it's called *In the Minds of Men: Darwin and the New World Order* by Ian Taylor, it's well worth a read. He's a scientist himself and he debunks a lot of the theories that are preached as the gospel truth about Darwinism; but, what's interesting is he went through the genealogy of the Darwin family to find out that, for generations, the Darwins only inter-married with one other family and that was the Wedgwood family, the wealthy Wedgwoods, who made the pottery empire. It's still very popular today. Because they believed in superior strains, they believed in the Platonic, the Plato idea of *The Republic* where they should breed. If you want scientists, you breed a scientist with a scientist, a mathematician with a mathematician and so on. Huxley himself went through the same spiel in one of his talks. Darwin himself was given the honour that he got from the Royal Society, another Freemasonic and royally-chartered society with a long history, going way back into the times of Isaac Newton. Only men, at one time, were allowed into it; and, to join it, you had to, literally, divorce your wife and leave your family behind you. It wasn't until the early 1900s they began to let female scientists into it. It's a secretive organisation; but, once again if you're ruling the ideas of people across the world, you want an organisation that is very secretive since they plan what will be taught at high levels of academia. They also plan what the public will be told, from high levels of academia, because perception becomes reality to the general public, we can be told anything, we will believe it, if a person in authority says so.

Eugenics is behind all of this, and Darwin himself, you find his grandfather really wrote the same treatise on evolution before him; and, what Darwin added to his grandfather's story was taken from Wallace, another member of the Society, who was doing all the field work and it was the Royal Society itself who decided to give it to Darwin, they'd already chose him to be the main man who would go down in history. You see, they make, they give you the heroes of history; they create them. There's lots of evidence on this, it's quiet astounding. Even these scientists are basically front men. They come through with a theory that's already written, before they were born. Why is that? Why do we have Royal backing? You can't call yourself 'Royal' anything without a royal charter, granted by royalty. Why do we have these secret organisations like the Royal Institute of International Affairs running most of the affairs of the world and advising all countries on policy, completely outside of democracy? We are run by small secretive groups, all working in concert. There is obviously a capstone somewhere that manages it all. I'll be back with more, after the following messages.

=== BREAK ===

Hi, I'm Alan Watt; we're Cutting Through The Matrix, trying to bring some sensibility into this weird and wacky world that we're living in.

I was going through an article by Christopher Booker on the 28th of December 2008 and that's from the Telegraph and I know that Christopher Booker has done some good commentaries on the whole con game of climate change and so on. The climate's always changed, always, but now it's; you see again, I was thinking the people today live in their little boxes, their little climate-controlled boxes and they jump into their cars and they have the heater on in the winter, or air conditioning in the summer, right into their offices, into more climate control and they don't even notice the great outdoors at all. Therefore it's quite easy to fool them into suddenly things are happening they should be scared of because they never take notice you see, they have no memory, they have no memory that we have seasons and we've always had seasons and we've got ups and downs, sometimes it's a few years of warm weather and then it's a few years of cold weather. The history books they used to give to primary school children went through the different changes, the great changes. Even in the middle Ages, they didn't build chimneys inside their houses in Europe at one point, because it was so hot. During the Crusades, the early Crusades, it was incredibly hot. That was one of the periods, for almost 200 years; they had to build all their cooking fires outside. Then, it changed again, in came the cooling period and they're back to indoor chimneys; but we're not supposed to know stuff that they used to teach you at the age of 5 or 6. And, because we have people living in their artificial climate-controlled cars and houses and so on, and offices, it's easy to fool them, especially when you've reared them to listen to experts, who basically tell us, in complicated language, that we're just too stupid to understand, that's why they use complicated language. It's no different than a stage magician using the white gloves on his hands, you watch one glove and you don't watch the other and he wears the black outfit and so on. It's all to distract you and that's what scientists do with their language, is distract you, until you can't keep up, your mind switches onto automatic pilot and you get downloaded; that is how it happens, quite simple.

They never show you proof; when they have to give you persuasion, you're talking about theory here. Theory is different from hard empirical evidence of scientific testing. This article by Christopher Booker on the 28th December says this:

The first, on May 21, headed "Climate change

He's talking about his best articles over the year, May 21st he said:

*"**Climate change threat to Alpine ski resorts**" , reported that the entire Alpine "winter sports industry" could soon "grind to a halt for lack of snow". The second, on December 19, headed "The Alps have best snow conditions in a generation", reported that this winter's Alpine snowfalls "look set to beat all records by New Year's Day".*

See, there's your weather change.

Easily one of the most important stories of 2008 has been all the evidence suggesting that this may be looked back on as the year when there was a turning point in the great worldwide panic over man-made global warming. Just when politicians in Europe and America have been adopting the most costly and damaging measures politicians have ever proposed, to combat this supposed menace, the tide has turned in three significant respects.

First, all over the world, temperatures have been dropping in a way wholly unpredicted by all those computer models which have been used as the main drivers of the scare. Last winter, as temperatures plummeted, many parts of the world had snowfalls on a scale not seen for decades. This winter, with the whole of Canada and half the US under snow, looks likely to be even worse. After several years flat lining, global temperatures have dropped sharply enough to cancel out much of their net rise in the 20th century.

Ever shriller and more frantic has become the insistence of the warmists, cheered on by their army of media groupies such as the BBC, that the last 10 years have been the "hottest in history" and that the North Pole would soon be ice-free - as the poles remain defiantly icebound and those polar bears fail to drown. All those hysterical predictions that we are seeing more droughts and hurricanes than ever before have infuriatingly failed to materialise.

Even the more cautious scientific acolytes of the official orthodoxy now admit that, thanks to "natural factors" such as ocean currents, temperatures have failed to rise as predicted (although they plaintively assure us that this cooling effect is merely "masking the underlying warming trend",

It's been camouflaged you see.

and that the temperature rise will resume worse than ever by the middle of the next decade). Secondly, 2008 was the year when any pretence that there was a "scientific consensus" in favour of man-made global warming collapsed. At long last, as in the Manhattan Declaration last March, hundreds of proper scientists, including many of the world's most eminent climate experts, have been rallying to pour scorn on that "consensus" which was only a politically engineered artefact, based on ever more blatantly manipulated data and computer models programmed to produce no more than convenient fictions.

Thirdly, as banks collapsed and the global economy plunged into its worst recession for decades, harsh reality at last began to break in on those self-deluding dreams which have for so long possessed almost every politician in the western world. As we saw in this month's Poznan conference, when 10,000 politicians, officials and "environmentalists" gathered to plan next year's "son of Kyoto" treaty in Copenhagen,

I'll be back with more of this, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about how the global warming has kind of cooled a bit and how the economic impact of this Depression, I don't call it a Recession, it's a Depression; mind you, it was planned that way too. It was planned as well, I'm sure, to coincide with all of this farcical spending on the environment. To continue with this article, he says:

As we saw in this month's Poznan conference, when 10,000 politicians, officials and "environmentalists" gathered to plan next year's "son of Kyoto" treaty in Copenhagen,

Which leads me to another point too: how come the general public can't get in on this? Why don't we get to vote on things, after we're given all sides of things,

we're never given that, all we do, is vote for the politicians. Meanwhile, these big groups run the politicians. As they gathered for the...

"son of Kyoto" treaty in Copenhagen, panicking politicians are waking up to the fact that the world can no longer afford all those quixotic schemes for "combating climate change" with which they were so happy to indulge themselves in more comfortable times.

Suddenly it has become rather less appealing that we should divert trillions of dollars, pounds and euros into the fantasy that we could reduce emissions of carbon dioxide by 80 per cent. All those grandiose projects for "emissions trading", "carbon capture", building tens of thousands more useless wind turbines, switching vast areas of farmland from producing food to "biofuels", are being exposed as no more than enormously damaging and futile gestures, costing astronomic sums we no longer possess.

As 2009 dawns, it is time we in Britain

He's talking about Britain but it's the same the world over.

faced up to the genuine crisis now fast approaching from the fact that - unless we get on very soon with building enough proper power stations to fill our looming "energy gap" - within a few years our lights will go out and what remains of our economy will judder to a halt. After years of infantile displacement activity, it is high time our politicians - along with those of the EU and President Obama's US - were brought back with a mighty jolt into contact with the real world.

Well, you see, the difference from myself and this particular journalist is that I have no problem accepting the fact that it's planned this way, because it all ties in with the reducing of population, it ties in with the figures that many of the big experts, leading experts, that are put in front of us all the time like David Suzuki, talk about reducing the population by, even down in some cases, to 500 Million people, worldwide. See, that's all you would need, if you were a pragmatist at the top; if you see people as utilitarian, for your purpose, in a post-industrial era, you simply eliminate the herd, you don't need all that stock anymore; they're talking up your resources. That's where I, basically, wander off the path and there's so much evidence that these big philanthropic organisations, that run this whole environmentalist industry, they run the greening organisations, and they get all their articles published in the media, because the big media is controlled as well, it's all part of the governing system, without the media, they'd lose control over the minds of the public; so you cannot have a free press. In fact, recently, there's an article about Fox News doing an article on Monsanto and the hassle these reporters went through, from their own company, after Monsanto leaned on them; and, they took it to court to try to get their articles in this documentary they did on Monsanto through. It was to do with this particular drug they're giving the cattle, to make them constantly produce milk; it's having detrimental effects, not only on the cattle but on people too. It was thrown out of court at the top and they said that it was not illegal for the media to lie to the public. See, the media's under no obligation to tell the truth to the public! In fact, as I say, it's an arm of government; that's why the big captains or barons of the media generally go over and get knighted, after serving their term as the CEO boy. They've been following the agenda very well and done their work very well. There's no such thing as a free press, I don't think there ever was. Information is power; and, for those who

run the world, and plan the future, you have to make sure that everyone gets the same brainwashing; therefore, the media is essential to do the brainwashing.

This farce of global warming and climate change and I call it weather, the weather changing, is not going to stop because they planned it such a long time ago. They published it from the Club of Rome's own book, written in the '90s that they, the Club of Rome, the big Think Tank that creates the government policy internationally. They were the same club that pressured governments to put a department for environment up that ties in with the United Nations, which they've all done. They published that they themselves were the ones who dreamed up the whole scam of global warming, when they were looking for something to unite the planet and to get the big agenda through, in 1972. Therefore, all the factors point to this must-be situation, it's a must-be situation where we believe it (whether the evidence is against it or not) and they will not slow down their fleecing the public for, supposedly, to stop carbon dioxide emissions. They'll not slow this down, as we go through this great depression that's coming our way; and, it is a Great Depression, make no mistake about that, it's planned that way, it's planned that way. For years they threw mortgages at people they knew could not afford it, in the US, that's how they started it off there; but, world-wide, the big credit card companies were throwing credit cards at people, throwing them at them. You couldn't go to your mailbox without two or three offering you to join them, regardless of your income; and, almost a generation's grown up, living on credit, on debt, thinking it's all quite natural and normal. Now, that they're pulling the plug on it, they don't know how to survive; and, the whole economy is based on the fact that people are using credit cards, the whole economy.

Shopping malls are closing down across the U.S., whole shopping malls. It's quite something but it won't stop, as I say, this is the agenda, it's a must-be, they've put too much work into that, all these massive organisations and philanthropic organisations, to change their path, they must put it all together and force us into the new system. They've already stated, in some quarters, they can use this economic catastrophe, to help create the new economic system. An economic system is a system in which you live to serve. They used to ask the questions, years ago, about economics and say: does the economy exist to serve the people or do the people exist to serve the economy? We've been told in no blunt terms by all the big boys, we're here to serve the economy. Well, what happens when there is no economy? Well, then we are the useless eaters, simple as that.

Now, we've got a caller from Sweden there, it's Thomas, are you there Thomas?

Thomas: Yes, hello Alan, hi.

Alan: Hello.

Thomas: Thank you for taking my call. I'm up in the middle of the night here; I couldn't sleep so I thought I might as well call in, see if I could get through. I want to thank you for all you've done for us and I can't believe all the books and articles that you have read through the years, it's really amazing and I think you're a godsend. I've only listened since the end of October, but I've tried to pick up as much as I've able to. I came into all this from my sort of a spiritual search, after a personal crisis a few years ago, and the urge and craving for something more that came with that. And, I'm still on with that quest I guess but I'm no longer sure if I can trust anyone really.

Alan: I know.

Thomas: I was rather hooked on that non-duality Indian Vedanta stuff. I mean you get a bit paranoid after a while in this truth movement or whatever you want to call it.

Alan: Yes, you do; you see, when people start waking up, because of the enormity of it all, they do tend to look around for something, because you're dealing with a human condition and, again, you're back to the old question, are we just pure blobs of protoplasm, as they try to tell us we are, or is there another side to us and so we go shopping for religion and the big boys know that, so they make sure that they supply fascinating religions which will serve them even better with the agenda. They make sure that, especially the religions of the East are readily available and pushed and promoted, because those religions tell you not to be involved, it doesn't matter, you concentrate on your own karma and so on.

Thomas: They sure will pacify you.

Alan: Yes, so this is all planned that way too and you find again, the big philanthropic organisations, the big Foundations, are heavily, they heavily promote the whole New Age concept, especially the blending of the East with West with religion.

Thomas: I believe you; but, I guess my question is, I'm still, personally, I hope for something more than just this world, just this body so that there is a soul or a spirit or some sort of source, whatever. I believe all you've said about this, that we live in this sort of from the top directed world; but, I heard you speak rather little about this other stuff, so I was just wondering your opinion if there is, in your opinion something, something more, something lasting?

Alan: I think it comes down to a basic start, you see, what we're told in all the New Age and all the sciences today is that there is no good or evil, so that's out the window, the whole concept of good and evil were well understood by even the ancients who discussed the topic of *Poneros*, or evil as they called it, in Greek, and that's been thrown out the window because we live in a Cabbalistic system today, where evil is just a human judgement on the outcomes of events and something always benefits. It's just like this crash, this world-wide economy, somebody benefits, there are people who benefit from this, the crash, big time, and therefore it wasn't evil from their point of view. It's promoted all through Hollywood. I watched a documentary, it was a party of Hollywood producers and each was asked a simple question, now these guys all did the science fiction and horror movies and they were each asked if they believed in good or evil and each one gave the Cabbalistic answer: it's just like a straight line, on this side, you might think of as evil, this side it might be thought of as good but really it's a continuous thing, it's just a human judgement. That's the philosophy that's being promoted in society.

Thomas: They seem really into this Cabbalistic stuff.

Alan: Very much so, very-very much so; and, because of that, they're creating a society where we're basically nihilistic. If you remove man being a religious creature, we are a religious creature, in one form or another; we seek the something beyond ourselves. They tend to supply it for us, that's the problem, but it doesn't stop us seeking, because we have an intuition that there is something

much bigger. The ones at the top are trying to kill that off, either kill it off, for those who become total atheists, and then you go along with the ways of the world, which they run; or you can join the New Age and you become a navel gazer and you do nothing of any import. You can only find what you think of as spirit on your own personal journey and when you start to bond with others of mankind, and you can put yourself in people's shoes, without even meeting them, or you start to find that you have real compassion for other people across the world who are being slaughtered or bombed or whatever, I think that's part of the journey of breaking out of the shell of just basic humanity. You're on a different track altogether; you must have empathy for other people, which is a survival mechanism for humanity. Without empathy for others, no one will have empathy for us; and, so, collectively, as a species, we must try and retain, or regain, that empathy we should have for people we'll never meet.

Thomas: Well, I'll have to go on and hope for something more I guess, down the road somewhere, I will have this sort of 'ah-ha' experience or whatever.

Alan: If you're truly looking, you'll find that you will change, yourself, and it comes to you, because you're seeking; that's why I don't advise people to join the groups and twist their legs round their necks and stuff like that!

Thomas: Just, you know, the thought that there is something more would just be enough for me and then.

Alan: Yes, and remember too, the proof of that can only be your proof, taking someone else's experience means nothing, that's belief; but, you have to have your own proof and, once you have it.

Thomas: Have you had that personally?

Alan: I have had my own experiences that lead me to conclude that there's something else in this whole game here. Even in the bad times, you have to remember those experiences that you yourself will have, things that should never happen come along at just the right time, when you're in a bad way, whatever it is,

Thomas: The things you can't explain?

Alan: That's right, that's when you know there's something else at work here.

Thomas: Well, ok, thank you very much and I hope you'll keep up the good work and I'll try to do my bit!

Alan: Hang in there.

Thomas: Yeah, sure.

Alan: Ok.

Thomas: Ok, thank you.

Alan: Bye now. And we've got Megan in Pennsylvania, are you there Megan?

Megan: Yes, I am, hi Alan. That's a funny story about the signs in Sudbury!

Alan: Yes, it's amazing. As I say, you'd be off the road if you followed them!

Megan: I know! And, there is a town with about 7000 people next to me and when you go into the town, like you said, they have a giant billboard with about 20 placards on it of who runs that town. So, it's a riot.

Alan: It is, it's right there, same as Sudbury, there's about twenty-odd organisations or more, squares and compasses, all the different groups of female Masons.

Megan: Wheels.

Alan: They run the business sector, they run the Board of Commerce, they run the city council, they run everything.

Megan: Ok, anyway: my question to you tonight is, I'm sorry to get you off the weather, but I remember you saying that when they went to the Moon, and the flag was waving but you said there's no air on the moon and I would've never thought of that, I didn't know that and I just wondered if you really believed that in July 1969.

Alan: Hold on, I'll tell you, hold on and we'll finish this after this break coming in.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking to Megan from Pennsylvania, who's mentioning something I talked about years ago. Would you like to continue on that there?

Megan: Yes, my question is: do you really believe that NASA landed on the Moon in July 1969? Or do you believe it was staged in Hollywood? And, thank you and I'll take my answer off the air.

Alan: I think they pulled a big con, to be honest with you, at least with that landing, who knows about the other ones, we have no idea; but, I can remember sitting up for it, I was really-really small and there's the flag waving away there and I thought well (I'd read up on it too, because I wanted to know all this stuff) and it's fluttering in the breeze and I thought well there's no atmosphere on the Moon, so why is the flag fluttering? Apparently the gravity was to be 1/6th% and even a space suit would come down to 6 pounds, which was nothing at all; and, they were supposed to take giant kangaroo leaps up into the sky and stuff. It didn't happen and I thought this is like slow-motion here, these little hops they were doing. Then, years later, different articles came out questioning exactly what I'd seen and, I think it was Rene who came out with his book, it was called the NASA Mooned America, a good exposé, using a lot of facts, including the fact that the next one with the range rover / moon rover, whatever they called it, could not have been gotten out of the particular doors that they had on the capsule. He has a lot of good data and information on that.

When you're going to pull a scam like this, to fool the world, using again, specialists and experts to convince you, you tell a really big scam; and it wasn't for years afterwards we even found out, and I wondered at the time too, who's following this capsule in when it left, I think it was the Challenger orbiting the Moon or whatever it was called, I can't remember its exact name. The thing was getting followed in by a camera. I thought: *well, there's another craft here or it's a cartoon we're watching*. It took them years to admit it was a simulation. They

didn't admit that when it was first shown with the first moon landings. Big powers were at play to convince the public this really did happen, by using hi-tech video and special effects of their era. Now, remember that was happening around the same time as Arthur C. Clarke's 2001 came out. Look at the special effects in that, see they had very advanced computers in those days, which the public knew nothing about, and they certainly put them to good use; but if you look at NASA Mooned America by Rene, it's well worth a read and a lot of good facts are in there as well.

Just before I go: people should look into this one article that ties in with eugenics and so on and what Huxley talked about in the '30s, how they'd go into the womb, to alter the people basically, and bring them up as the type they want. Well, here's something that came out of Australia and it's from the Herald Sun, it's to do with drugging babies basically. It says:

Infant drugs shock December 21, 2008 SIXTEEN Victorian babies

That's in Australia

aged less than a year were prescribed anti-depressants in 2007-08 - the highest number in Australia.

Now they're giving anti-psychotic drugs to babies, less than a year old. You know where it's all going, don't you? All these experts and a trained population of mums, that don't have any sense left.

Well, that's the end of the show coming along, I hear the music, so from Hamish and myself in Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your god, or your gods, go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Articles:

"2008 was the year man-made global warming was disproved" by Christopher Booker (telegraph.co.uk) - Dec. 29, 2008.)

"Infant drugs shock" by Eleni Hale (news.com.au) - Dec. 21, 2008.)